From: Abboud, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B6F5AF791A1842F1ADCC088CBF9ED3CE-ABBOUD, MIC]

Sent: 8/21/2018 9:48:40 PM

To: Gunasekara, Mandy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=53d1a3caa8bb4ebab8a2d28ca59b6f45-Gunasekara,]

CC: Woods, Clint [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc65010f5c2e48f4bc2aa050db50d198-Woods, Clin]; Block, Molly

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=60d0c681a16441a0b4fa16aa2dd4b9c5-Block, Moll]

Subject: Re: RIA on existing power plants

Clint do you have any input on this?

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 21, 2018, at 4:15 PM, Gunasekara, Mandy < Gunasekara. Mandy@epa.gov> wrote:

Clint – please add/amend as appropriate.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Abboud, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 3:02 PM

To: Gunasekara, Mandy < Gunasekara. Mandy @epa.gov >; Woods, Clint < woods.clint @epa.gov >

Cc: Block, Molly < block.molly@epa.gov > Subject: FW: RIA on existing power plants

From: Eric Roston (BLOOMBERG/ NEWSROOM:) [mailto:eroston@bloomberg.net]

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 2:10 PM

To: Press < Press@epa.gov>

Subject: RIA on existing power plants

Greetings -- Writing a short piece, trying to ask this question: Would all of the scientific studies cited in the new existing-power-plants RIA be admissible for EPA analysis if the Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science rule were in effect? Thank you.

Best regards, Eric Roston

Eric Roston 212.617.5464 desk 202.253.5723 cell/Signal